## Application No. Applicant(s) MINNICK ET AL. 10/003,134 Interview Summary

	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LECHI TRUONG	2194	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
1) Robert A. Greenberg.	(3)		
2) <u>Lechi Truong</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>17 June 2008</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,12,23,28,33,45 and 46</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant agreed to amend claims in accordance to examiner's amendment</u> .			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE NTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS 3IVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS NTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO "ILLE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview equirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
	/Meng-Ai An/	Art Unit 2105	
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195			